



Secretariat:	Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut Vlinderweg 6 P.O. box 5059  2623 AX Delft, NL 2600 GB Delft, NL Netherlands Netherlands  telephone: +31 15 2 690 163 fax: +31 15 2 690 513 E-mail: chemistry@nen.nl
--------------	---

doc.nr.	
<b>ISO/TC 67/WG 2 N 84</b>	
date 2002-11-19	total pages <b>2</b>
item nr.	supersedes document N 81
Committee	ISO/TC 67/WG 2 Conformity assessment

## WG2 Report to ISO/TC67, November 2002

This report is delivered in compliance with TC67 Resolution 12, Copenhagen 2002, see Annex attached.

Project 29001 was defined in the NWI ballot (doc. 67 N 798). It passed ballot (see doc. 67 N 799) and was registered by ISO CS, with ISO Project Leader Mr. Ken Peurifoy.

### Report further to TC67 Resolution 12, Copenhagen 2002

WG2 confirms that the immediate task is to complete a next Working Draft by 12<sup>th</sup> December 2002 to be distributed for final review and comment to the ISO Project Leader by 19<sup>th</sup> December by WG2. WG2 decides to hold its next meeting on 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2003 in Vancouver, Canada.

When completed to the satisfaction of the WG2 Convenor, the final Working Draft will be forwarded to TC67 in accordance with ISO IEC Directives Part I Procedures 2.4.8.

With reference to ISO IEC Directives Part I Procedures 2.4.3, WG2 has remained "standing" in accordance with TC67 Policies and procedures, and it is noted that the term "project teams" as used in ISO IEC Directives applies to IEC not to ISO. WG2 acknowledges that Mr. Ken Peurifoy is ISO Project Leader consequent on the successful ballot of the NWI in which he was proposed, and that Mr. Peurifoy has been discharging his responsibilities under ISO IEC Directives Part I 2.4.5.

WG2 confirms that at least six weeks notification of its meeting (18/19 November 2002) was provided, in accordance with ISO IEC Directives Part I 4.2.2.1.

WG2 confirms that a first working draft was distributed in November 2002, and confirms the Stage 20.20 date as 2002-11.

WG2 experts have not reached agreement regarding the timing of a CD ballot. For when a CD is to be distributed, the proposals from WG2 experts for the target date for Stage 30.20 (CD review) ranged from 2003-02 to 2005-01.

Therefore further dates are proposed relative to the CD (30.20) Stage date, as follows:

IS Stage 40.20 (DIS): 12 months after CD

IS Stage 50.20 (FDIS): 14 months after DIS

IS Stage 60.60 (IS): 4 months after FDIS

### Report further to TC67 Resolution 13, Copenhagen 2002

WG2 has considered the possibility of a Technical Specification as requested by TC67. The WG2 Secretary made a presentation of the development and approval processes for ISO deliverables, and the circumstances and conditions for preparation and publication of a Technical Specification. Consequently, WG2 experts support preparing a draft TS for ballot by the TC.

### **Additional issues for consideration**

Subsequent to preparation of the New Work Item Proposal, the scope of ISO/TC67 was extended to include "petrochemical". WG2 notes that the scope of the NWIP does not include "petrochemical". TC67 should therefore consider how to address this situation.

It is proposed to prepare the 29001 document in the format of ISO/TS 16949, as also advised by the liaison expert from ISO/TC176.

### *Annex: Resolutions Copenhagen (September 2002)*

#### **Resolution 12 (Copenhagen, 2002)**

Referring to approval of AWI 29001 (document N 799 and N 801), ISO TC 67 requests WG2 participants and its Convenor to meet in order to:

1. propose definition of tasks and set target dates for submission of drafts to TC 67, as recommended by ISO IEC Directives Part I Procedures 2.4.3 and 4.2.2.1.
2. present in due time to P members committee drafts as recommended by ISO IEC Directives Part I clauses 2.5.1 and 2.5.2.
3. report on the program 6 weeks before the MC meeting of [7th] February 2003.

#### **Resolution 13 (Copenhagen, 2002)**

Further to Resolution 12, ISO TC 67 requests WG2 participants and its Convenor to consider the possibility of circulating the committee draft per Resolution 12 that could also be balloted as a technical specification.